inertified teanstation brend reption to Fourish language Certinal, 153/2014 Date: 19 September 2015 Page 1 Cr2

CERTIFIED TRANSLATION FROM CROATIAN TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE

17.



ternere Davidaer filtevidualaria (Copinti languala Sort no. (1972-1972) Data 19 September 2019 Page 1714

/Coat of Arms of the Republic of Croatia/

Reference number: P-202/19-13

REPUBLIC OF CROATIA MUNICIPAL COURT IN PULA-POLA Kranjčevićeva 8, 52100 Pula-Pola

/Stamp: RECEIVED ON 13 SEPT 2019/

ON BEHALF OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA

JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT

The Municipal Court in Pula-Pola, Judge Mirna Mačešić-Biscuoli as a single judge in the civil matter of the plaintiff **Pula Parking d.o.o.**, Pula, Prilaz kralja Salamona 4, VAT ID NO (OIB): 92332318203, represented by attorney Marko Kuzmanović. Attorney-at-Law from Pula, against the defendant of the Netherlands,

September 2019

, for payment, without holding a hearing, on 10

decided as follows

The defendant is ordered to pay to the plaintiff the amount of HRK 100.00 (one hundred kuna zero lipa) together with the statutory default interest running from 5 August 2016 until payment at the rate determined for each semester by increasing the average interest rate on balances of loans granted for a period exceeding one year to non-financial companies calculated for the reference period preceding the current semester by three percentage points, and to reimburse the plaintiff for the costs of the civil proceedings in the total amount of HRK 10,837.50, all within 8 days.

Statement of Reasons

The plaintiff states in the claim to be a company that performs the activity of charging for the maintenance, management and control of parking of vehicles in all paying public car parks where it performs its activity, based on the acts adopted by the local self-government. The plaintiff also states that on 28 July 2016 the defendant used the paying public car park with his vehicle with foreign registration plates — Without a valid parking ticket. Given that the defendant did not have a valid parking ticket, the plaintiff's authorized person issued him with a daily parking ticket with an attached payment order by affixing it to the windshield of the defendant's vehicle. As the defendant did not settle the daily parking ticket with the payment order, nor did he pay for the parking service used, the plaintiff proposes that its claim be granted and to be compensated for the costs incurred in the proceedings.

The defendant was summoned to the preliminary hearing held on 10 June 2019, and was served with the statement of claim along with the summons, as well as with a letter inviting him to file a written counter-statement within 8 days. The defendant has not provided a

written counter-statement to the claim nor any statement whatsoever to the plaintiff's allegations, nor did he appear at the preliminary hearing. Therefore, the court finds that, in view of the evidence supporting the claim, all the conditions for issuing a judgment by default have been met.

Since the merits of the statement of claim are based on the facts stated in the text thereof, and these facts are not contrary to the evidence adduced by the plaintiff itself, nor to the facts which are generally known, nor are there any generally known circumstances from which it would arise that the defendant was justifiably prevented to file a counter-statement, the court ruled in accordance with the provision of Article 331 (b) of the Civil Procedure Act as stated in the operative part of the judgment.

Pursuant to Article 154, paragraph 1 of the Civil Procedure Act, the plaintiff is entitled to compensation for litigation costs. Thus, the plaintiff was granted the cost of attorney fees, determined in accordance with the applicable regulations of the attorneys' fee tariffs and the determined value of the dispute. The plaintiff was granted a one-off award for first instance proceedings pursuant to Tar. no. 7, it. 8. in the amount of HRK 625.00, the cost of obtaining the information on the debtor in the amount of HRK 625.00, the cost of translation of documents by a certified court interpreter in the amount of HRK 8.833,50 according to the invoice attached, and the cost of the court fee for the claim in the amount of HRK 100.00 and the court fee on the judgment in the amount of HRK 100.00, as well as the cost of delivering the court decisions to the defendant in the amount of HRK 277.00 for service of claim form, and the amount of HRK 277.00 for service of judgment, that is HRK 10,837.50 in total.

Pula, 10 September 2019

J u d g e Mirna Mačešić-Biscuoli

LEGAL REMEDY:

An appeal may be filed against this judgment within 15 days of the receipt of the transcript thereof. The appeal shall be filed in three identical copies to this court, and the decision on the appeal shall be made by the County Court.

A judgment by default may not be contested on the grounds of incorrect or incomplete facts.

Deliver to:

- Plaintiff through Attorney
- Defendant via EU delivery

Certified copy Authorised official /Handwritten signature/ /Official stamp: REPUBLIC OF CROATIA 14 MUNICIPAL COURT IN PULA-POLA/

Contribut Languation from Constants (Contribution) Control (Control (Contro) (Contro

I, Marija Radić Radovan, Court Interpreter for English and Italian language, as appointed by the President of the County Court in Pula, Decree No. 4 Su-788/04 of 24 February 2005, do hereby certify that the above translation is a faithful and complete translation of the original document written in the Croatian language.

Cert. no. 153/2019

10

Pula, 19 September 2019

Marija Radi Radi Vanac Julian Direker Barija Radi Radi Van Direker Dir